## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTHERN DIVISION

JJJ DEC 29 AM11: 24

OF INDIANA

AND VILLE DIVINE

CARL F CARSON,
Plaintiff pro se',

V.
CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) N.A.,
Defendant
WELTMAN, WEINGBERG & REIS Co.
ATLANTIC CREDIT & FINANCE, INC. )
CO-DEFENDANTS

3:10-cv- 184 RLY-WGH

) Case No.:

) TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED

# PLAINTIFFS' SATEMENT OF CLAIM STATEMENT UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Carl F Carson.

At all times hereinafter mentioned, The Plaintiff was and still is a resident of Perry County, State of Indiana. From here on Carl F Carson, will be known as The Plaintiff.

Plaintiff respectfully submits Plaintiffs Statement of Claim and Statement upon Which Relief Can Be Granted.

## Statement of Claim

- 1 The Defendant's are debt collectors, as such is governed by
- 2 the law under The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 USC
- 3 Section §1601,et seq. The Defendants are also governed under
- 4 the law by The Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 USC Section §
- 5 1681, et seq. The State of Indiana abides by and adheres to
- 6 these laws. Thus establishing the jurisdiction of this
- 7 honorable court.
- 8 Specifically section 813 of the FDCPA and 618 of the FCRA.
- 9 The Plaintiff denies ever having any contractual agreement
- 10 for credit, loans or services relationship with the

Page 1 of 19.

- 11 Defendants.
- 12 Even if the Plaintiff did have such an agreement, which the
- 13 Plaintiff denies, the alleged debt is not in question here.
- 14 But the fact as to how it was or was not validated and
- 15 wrongful actions of the Defendants in an attempt to collect
- 16 and credit reporting of the alleged debt, violated the civil
- 17 rights of the Plaintiff and the law as outlined in the Debt
- 18 Collection Practices Act, 15 USC \$1601,et seq. and the Fair
- 19 Credit Reporting Act 15 USC \$1681, et seq.
- 20 On or about January 21, 2007 the Defendant contacted the
- 21 Plaintiff by U.S mail at the Plaintiffs home.
- 22 The Defendant identified themselves as CAPITAL ONE BANK from
- 23 UTAH and stated that the Plaintiff owed them \$2,215.65.00 the
- 24 person identified himself as one T. Lee . The Plaintiff
- 25 asked this person to provide proof of this alleged debt and
- 26 Mr. Lee indicated that he had sent the Plaintiff that
- 27 information; the Plaintiff never had received any information
- 28 from this company prior to the date of this. The Defendant
- 29 never informed the Plaintiff of his rights under the DCPA.
- 30 "This is an attempt to collect a debt any information
- 31 obtained will be used for that purpose" The defendant
- 32 indicated "that he enclosed a copy of the customer agreement
- 33 and that the original application was not available and did
- 34 not have to because they had sent a letter with that
- 35 information on it". Plaintiff realleges the allegations set
- 36 forth in paragraphs 1 through 36 herinabove.

Page 2 of 19.

### Count I 37 § 807. False or misleading representations [15 USC 1692e] 38 (11) The failure to disclose in the initial written 39 40 communication with the consumer and, in addition, if the initial communication with the consumer is oral, in that 41 initial oral communication, that the debt collector is 42 43 attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained will be used for that purpose, and the failure to 44 disclose in subsequent communications that the communication 45 46 is from a debt collector, except that this paragraph shall not apply to a formal pleading made in connection with a 47 legal action. 48 49 NOTE - The Omnibus Appropriation bill (13) which was signed into law on Sept. 30th, 1996 included an amendment to the 50 Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. The amendment requires 51 52 the debt collector to give the mini-Miranda warning in the initial communication but, in all subsequent communications 53 with the debtor, the debt collector must disclose that 54 55 ... "This communication is from a debt collector." This amendment became effective December 31, 1996. Attorneys who 56 are involved either in the collection process or with 57 foreclosures and/or consumer bankruptcies must be alert to 58 their obligations under the Act. Once the first contact has 59 been made with a debtor, a written validation notice must be 60 sent to the debtor, on a one-time basis, within five days 61 62 (which must also contain the mini-Miranda warning). Page 3 of 19.

- 63 Thereafter, all communications with a debtor, whether written
- 64 or oral, must contain the "mini-Miranda" warning. This
- 65 includes telephone conversations, correspondence, demand
- 66 letters, stipulations, notices, discovery, receipts of
- 67 payment and post-judgment remedies.
- 68 NOTE in accordance with the recent amendment, § 807(11)
- 69 does not apply to formal legal pleadings made in connection
- 70 with a legal action. The Defendant did not comply with the
- 71 law when contacting the Plaintiff by failing to inform the
- 72 Plaintiff of his rights.
- 73 Plaintiff demands judgment for \$1000.00
- 74 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs
- 75 37 through 75 hereinabove.

#### 76 Count II

- 77 On or about February 8, 2007 the Defendants again contacted
- 78 the Plaintiff by U.S. mail at his home and again failed to
- 79 advise the Plaintiff of his civil rights under the law by not
- 80 invoking the consumer warning "this is an attempt to collect
- 81 a debt and any information will be used for that purpose"
- 82 § 807. False or misleading representations [15 USC 1692e]
- 83 (11) The failure to disclose in the initial written
- 84 communication with the consumer and, in addition, if the
- 85 initial communication with the consumer is oral, in that
- 86 initial oral communication, that the debt collector is
- 87 attempting to collect a debt and that any information
- 88 obtained will be used for that purpose, and the failure to Page 4 of 19.

- disclose in subsequent communications that the communication is from a debt collector, except that this paragraph shall not apply to a formal pleading made in connection with a 91 92 legal action. NOTE - The Omnibus Appropriation bill (13) which was signed 93 into law on Sept. 30th, 1996 included an amendment to the 94 Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. The amendment requires 95 the debt collector to give the mini-Miranda warning in the initial communication but, in all subsequent communications 97 with the debtor, the debt collector must disclose that ... "This communication is from a debt collector." 100 This amendment became effective December 31, 1996. Attorneys 101 who are involved either in the collection process or with 102 foreclosures and/or consumer bankruptcies must be alert to 103 their obligations under the Act. Once the first contact has 104 been made with a debtor, a written validation notice must be 105 sent to the debtor, on a one-time basis, within five days 106 (which must also contain the mini-Miranda warning). 107 Thereafter, all communications with a debtor, whether 108 written or oral, must contain the "mini-Miranda" warning. This includes telephone conversations, correspondence,
- 111 stipulations, notices, discovery, receipts of payment and
- 112 post-judgment remedies.

demand letters,

110

- 113 NOTE in accordance with the recent amendment, § 807(11)
- 114 does not apply to formal legal pleadings made in connection Page 5 of 19.

- 115 with a legal action. Plaintiff demands judgment for \$1000.00 116 117 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 76 through 118 hereinabove. 118 Count III 119 On or about March 23, 2007 the Defendant sent a letter by US 120 121 Mail to the Plaintiff saying they had completed my request, again could not produce the original, but only a copy of a 122 123 application. Then Plaintiff by certified US Mail return 124 receipt request sent the Defendant again for documentation 125 and bureau reporting which received this on April 26th 2007. 126 (See attached receipt exhibit P1). On or about May 6th 2007 127 the Defendant again contacted the Plaintiff by US Mail at 128 his home and again failed to advise the Plaintiff of his 129 civil rights under the law by not invoking the consumer 130 warning "this is an attempt to collect a debt and any information will be used for that purpose" 131 132 § 807. False or misleading representations 133 [15 USC 1692e] (11) 134 The failure to disclose in the initial written communication 135 with the consumer and, in addition, if the initial 136 communication with the consumer is oral, in that initial
- 137 oral communication, that the debt collector is attempting to
- 138 collect a debt and that any information obtained will be
- 139 used for that purpose, and the failure to disclose in
- 140 subsequent communications that the communication is from a Page 6 of 19.

- debt collector, except that this paragraph shall not apply to a formal pleading made in connection with a legal action. NOTE - The Omnibus Appropriation bill (13) which was signed 143 144 into law on Sept. 30th, 1996 included an amendment to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. The amendment requires 145 the debt collector to give the mini-Miranda warning in the 146 initial communication but, in all subsequent communications 147 with the debtor, the debt collector must disclose that 148 ... "This communication is from a debt collector." This 149 150 amendment became effective December 31, 1996. Attorneys who 151 are involved either in the collection process or with 152 foreclosures and/or consumer bankruptcies must be alert to 153 their obligations under the Act. Once the first contact has 154 been made with a debtor, a written validation notice must be 155 sent to the debtor, on a one-time basis, within five days 156 (which must also contain the mini-Miranda warning). 157 Thereafter, all communications with a debtor, whether 158 written or oral, must contain the "mini-Miranda" warning. 159 This includes telephone conversations, correspondence, 160 demand letters, stipulations, notices, discovery, receipts 161 of payment and post-judgment remedies. 162 NOTE - in accordance with the recent amendment, § 807(11) 163 does not apply to formal legal pleadings made in connection 164 with a legal action. Plaintiff demands judgment for \$1000.00 165
- 166 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs
  Page 7 of 19.

167 119 through 167 hereinabove.

#### 168 Count IV

169 The Defendant also violated the limited cease and desists

170 sent to them and received on April 26th 2007 by contacting

171 all the credit bureaus and advising that the account was

172 past due. § 805. Communication in connection with debt

173 collection [15 USC 1692c]

174 (a) COMMUNICATION WITH THE CONSUMER GENERALLY. Without the

175 prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt

176 collector or the express permission of a court of competent

177 jurisdiction, a debt collector may not communicate with a

178 consumer in connection with the collection of any debt --

179 CEASING COMMUNICATION. If a consumer notifies a debt

180 collector in writing that the consumer refuses to pay a debt

181 or that the consumer wishes the debt collector to cease

182 further communication with the consumer, the debt collector

183 shall not communicate further with the consumer with respect

184 to such debt, except -- to advise the consumer that the debt

185 collector's further efforts are being terminated; to notify

186 the consumer that the debt collector or creditor may invoke

187 specified remedies which are ordinarily invoked by such debt

188 collector or creditor; or where applicable, to notify the

189 consumer that the debt collector or creditor intends to

190 invoke a specified remedy. If such notice from the consumer

191 is made by mail, notification shall be complete upon

192 receipt.

- 193 Plaintiff demands judgment for \$1000.00 Plaintiff
- 194 re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 168
- 195 through 195 hereinabove.
- 196 Count V
- 197 The Defendant also violated Section 809. Validation of debts
- 198 [15 USC 1692g] of the DCPA by not providing proof of the
- 199 alleged debt as requested by the Plaintiffs letter of April
- 200 26 by continuous collection activity prior to validation of
- 201 the debt.
- 202 If the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing
- 203 within the thirty-day period described in subsection (a)
- 204 that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, or that
- 205 the consumer requests the name and address of the original
- 206 creditor, the debt collector shall cease collection of the
- 207 debt, or any disputed portion thereof, until the debt
- 208 collector obtains verification of thedebt or any copy of a
- 209 judgment, or the name and address of the original creditor,
- 210 and a copy of such verification or judgment, or name and
- 211 address of the original creditor, is mailed to the consumer
- 212 by the debt collector.
- 213 Plaintiff demands judgment for \$1000.00
- 214 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in
- 215 paragraphs 196 through 215 hereinabove.

Page 9 of 19.

#### 217 Count VI

- 218 Overshadowing the document sent to the Plaintiff stated to
- 219 "review and remit balance in full to the above addrress
- 220 which overshadows the consumer warning on the document 1996
- 221 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22555, DEBRA TYCHEWICZ, Plaintiff, v.
- 222 RICHARD DOBBERSTEIN d/b/a CREDIT ASSOCIATES, Defendant. 96-
- 223 C-0195-S UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN
- 224 DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN
- 225 Plaintiff demands judgment for \$1000.00
- 226 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in
- 227 paragraphs 217 through 227 hereinabove.

#### 228 Count VIII

- 229 § 604. Permissible purposes of consumer reports
- 230 [15 U.S.C. § 1681b]
- 232 (2) In accordance with the written instructions of the
- 232 consumer to whom it relates
- 233 No permissible purpose to pull the report. On or about
- 234 May 6th the Defendant preformed an inquiry into the
- 235 Plaintiffs all three credit reports. The alleged contract is
- 236 outside the SOL and the Defendant has not provided
- 237 acceptable proof of any alleged debt subsequently violating
- 238 the following in the FCRA, There was no authorization by the
- 239 Plaintiff to pull the report.
- 240 Plaintiff demands judgment for \$1000.00
- 241 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in Page 10 of 19.

- 242 paragraphs 228 through 242 hereinabove.
- 243 WHEREFORE, the defendant has violated the Fair Credit
- 244 Reporting Act and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 245 Plaintiff demands judgment in the amount of \$7,000.00, plus
- 246 all costs of this action along with punitive damages in the
- 247 amount of \$150,000.00.
- 248 Complaint/Statement of Claim against The Creditor
- 249 Two preceding accounts held by the Creditor were then sold
- 250 to Debt Collection Collectors from Capital One Bank (USA) N.A
- 251 Creditor to ATLANTIC CREDIT & FINANCE INC. and WELTMAN,
- 252 WEINBERG & REIS CO. L.P.A. as Co-Defendants under 1 thru 10.
- 253 The Law of Agency applies in this matter. The Plaintiff had
- 254 contacted the Defendant The Creditor on or about April 2006
- 255 in reference to erroneous and inaccurate reporting in the
- 256 Plaintiffs Credit Report this is covered under the FCRA. The
- 257 Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 USC Section \$1681, et seq
- 258 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in
- 259 paragraphs 243 through 259 hereinabove.
- 260 PLAINTIFFS' SATEMENT OF CLAIM
- 261 COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Carl F Carson.
- 262 Plaintiff respectfully submits Plaintiffs Statement of
- 263 Claim. The Defendant THE CREDITOR CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA)
- 264 N.A. is a credit lender and as such governed under the law
- 265 by The Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 USC §1681, et seq. and
- 266 also reports these accounts to the national credit reporting
- 267 agencies i.e. TransUnion, Equifax, Experian and Involves all

Page 11 of 19.

```
268
    national credit reporting agencies.
269
    The State of Indiana abides by and adheres to these laws.
270
    Thus establishing the jurisdiction of this honorable court.
     Specifically the Fair Credit Reporting Act § 618 15 USC
271
272
     § 1681p, et seq. The Plaintiff denies ever having any
     contractual agreement for credit, loans or services
273
274
     relationship with the Defendant. Even if the Plaintiff did
    have such an agreement, which the Plaintiff denies, the
275
     alleged account is not in question here. But the fact as to
276
    how it was or was not verified and wrongful actions of the
277
278
     Defendant in inserting erroneous and inaccurate information
279
     and failure to indicate the account is in dispute and later
280
     charged off in the Plaintiffs credit reports, violated the
281
     civil rights of the Plaintiff and the law as outlined in The
282
     Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 USC §1681, et seq. The
283
     Plaintiff requested a copy of his Credit Report from
284
     Experian/Equifax on June 2009 and again in December 2010.
285
     The Plaintiff was alerted to this through his credit report
286
     service. Upon inspection of the said report the Plaintiff
     observed that THE CREDITOR was listed on the Plaintiffs
287
288
     Tramsunion and Equifax credit report. Indicating a
289
     debt/account due to THE CREDITOR FKA with the quote (charged
290
     off account) inserted in the trade line. THE CREDITOR has
291
     never contacted the Plaintiff at any time prior to today's
292
     date with any allegations of any alleged debt/account. The
293
     Plaintiff has not now or ever had any business affiliation
                            Page 12 of 19.
```

or relationship with THE CREDITOR has never applied for any 294 295 type of mortgage, or insurance or employment reasons with 296 the Defendant. The Plaintiff contacted the Defendant by U.S. 297 Postal Service Certified Mail Return Receipt on May 10, 2007 298 which the Defendant received on May 10th 2007 asking for 299 proof of this alleged account. The Plaintiff had contacted 300 the Defendant by US mail on several other occasions after 301 this and had never received an answer from the Defendant and 302 has attempted to have an explanation from the Defendant 304 without any response for over two years. After not receiving 303 any answer from the Defendant, the Plaintiff contacted the 304 Defendant on January 25th 2010 with a final notice of 305 Pending Lawsuit in an attempt to settle this situation 306 amicably to try and get a response from the Defendant 307 prior to filing this complaint. The Defendant received this 308 letter on or by January 29th 2010 via certified US Mail. The 309 Defendant has never responded to the Plaintiff. The 310 Plaintiff has tried every way possible to resolve these 311 issues but has never received an answer from THE CREDITOR 312 forcing the Plaintiff to this court action in order for the 313 court to intervene in this matter. The derogatory erroneous 314 and inaccurate information still remains on the Plaintiff's 315 Credit report to date. 316 The Plaintiff has requested confirmation/disputed this 317 alleged account with Experian and Equifax and Transunion on 318 several occasions and Experian, Equifax and Transunion have Page 13 of 19.

- 319 confirmed that they are reporting it correctly as advised to
- 320 Experian, Equifax and Transunion by THE CREDITOR. The
- 321 Defendant must also inform notice of dispute to the Major
- 322 Credit Reporting agencies that the alleged debt is in
- 323 dispute, which the Defendant has not done. The Defendant has
- 324 continued reporting erroneous and inaccurate information by
- 325 updating the Plaintiffs credit report for more then two
- 326 years even after informing the Defendant of this and asking
- 327 for proof of any account and has done so to-
- 328 date. The Defendant is in violation the Fair Credit
- 329 Reporting Act [15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2], et seq. As follows:
- 330 A. Failure to inform the National Credit Reporting Agencies
- 331 that the alleged account is in dispute and/or charged off
- 332 failing to do so for over two years.
- 333 B. Continually updating the Plaintiff's credit report for
- 334 over two years with this erroneous and inaccurate
- 335 information for over two years.
- 336 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in
- 337 paragraphs 260 through 337 hereinabove.
- 338 VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT
- 339 According to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, section 623.
- 340 Responsibilities of furnishers of information to
- 341 consumer reporting agencies [15 U.S.C. § 1681s
- 342 (a) Duty of furnishers of information to provide accurate
- 343 information.
- 344 (1) Prohibition.

- 345 (A) Reporting information with actual knowledge of errors.
- 346 A person shall not furnish any information
- 347 relating to a consumer to any consumer-reporting agency if
- 348 the person knows or consciously avoids knowing that the
- 349 information is inaccurate.
- 350 (B) Reporting information after notice and confirmation of
- 351 errors. A person shall not furnish information relating to a
- 352 consumer to any consumer-reporting agency if (i) the person
- 353 has been notified by the consumer, at the address specified
- 354 by the person for such notices, that specific information is
- 355 inaccurate; and (ii) the information is, in fact,
- 356 inaccurate.
- 357 (2) Duty to correct and update information. A person who
- 358 (A) regularly and in the ordinary course of business
- 359 furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting
- 360 agencies about the person's transactions or experiences with
- 361 any consumer; and
- 362 (B) has furnished to a consumer reporting agency information
- 363 that the person determines is not complete or accurate,
- 364 shall promptly notify the consumer reporting agency of that
- 365 determination and provide to the agency any corrections to
- 366 that information, or any additional information, that is
- 367 necessary to make the information provided by the person to
- 368 the agency complete and accurate, and shall not thereafter
- 369 furnish to the agency any of the information that remains
- 370 not complete or accurate.

- 371 (3) Duty to provide notice of dispute. If the completeness
- 372 or accuracy of any information furnished by any person to
- 373 any consumer reporting agency is disputed to such person by
- 374 a consumer, the person may not furnish the information to
- 375 any consumer reporting agency without notice that such
- 376 information is disputed by the consumer.
- 377 (b) Duties of furnishers of information upon notice of
- 378 dispute.
- 379 (1) In general. After receiving notice pursuant to section
- 380 611(a)(2) [§ 1681i] of a dispute with regard to the
- 381 completeness or accuracy of any information provided by a
- 382 person to a consumer reporting agency, the person shall
- 383 (A) conduct an investigation with respect to the disputed
- 384 information;
- 385 (B) review all relevant information provided by the consumer
- 386 reporting agency pursuant to section 611(a)(2) [§ 1681i];
- 387 (C) report the results of the investigation to the consumer
- 388 reporting agency; and (D) if the investigation finds that
- 389 the information is incomplete or inaccurate, report those
- 390 results to all other consumer reporting agencies to which
- 391 the person furnished the information and that compile and
- 392 maintain files on consumers on a nationwide basis.
- 393 (2) Deadline. A person shall complete all investigations,
- 394 reviews, and reports required under paragraph (1) regarding
- 395 information provided by the person to a consumer reporting
- 396 agency, before the expiration of the period under section

```
611(a)(1) [§ 1681i] within which the consumer reporting
397
398
    agency is required to complete actions required by that
399
     section regarding that information.
400
    The information from THE CREDITOR Services on the
401
    Experian/Equifax/Transunion credit report of Plaintiff does
402
    not reflect that the information is disputed by the
    consumer. According to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 616.
403
404
     Civil liability for willful noncompliance
405
     [15 U.S.C. § 1681n], (a) In general. Any person who
406
     willfully fails to comply with any requirement imposed under
407
     this title with respect to any consumer is liable to that
408
     consumer in an amount equal to the sum of:
409
     (1) (A) any actual damages sustained by the consumer as a
410
     result of the failure or damages of not less than $100 and
411
     not more than $1,000, (2) such amount of punitive damages as
412
     the court may allow; and (3) in the case of any successful
413
     action to enforce any liability under this section, the
414
     costs of the action together with reasonable attorney's fees
415
     as determined by the court. Plaintiff re-alleges the
416
     allegations set forth in paragraphs 348 through 418
418
     hereinabove.
     Plaintiff demands Judgment in the amount of $24,000.00 for
419
420
     each month the Defendant violated the act by updating the
421
     Plaintiffs credit reports with inaccurate and erroneous
423
     information.
424
     VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT
```

Page 17 of 19.

- 425 According to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, section 623.
- 426 Responsibilities of furnishers of information to
- 427 consumer reporting agencies [15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2]:
- 428 (a) Duty of furnishers of information to provide accurate
- 429 information.
- 430 Plaintiff has had a lower negative credit score as of this
- 431 date and has been denied credit and at reasonable rates
- 432 because of the Defendant's actions, and have damaged the
- 433 Plaintiffs Credit Report Score and have committed
- 434 Defamation of Character, PerSe' against the Plaintiff.
- 435 WHEREFORE, the defendents have violated the Fair Credit
- 436 Reporting Act and the Debt Colection Practices Act,
- 437 Plaintiff demands judgement in the amount of \$48,000.00,
- 438 plus all costs of this action along with punitive damages
- 439 in the amount of \$150,000.00 or as the court may allow
- 440 with Private Attorney General fees of \$3,000.00 as
- 441 prescribed by law Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F.2nd 107,
- 442 113 (3d Cir. 1991), 15 U.S.C. sec. 1692k(a)(3), (see
- 443 Zagorski v. Midwest Billing Services, Inc., F.3d (1997)
- 444 WL 695401, 7th Cir.) or 128 F.3d 1164 (7th Cir., 1997).
- 445 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in
- 446 paragraphs 419 through 446 hereinabove.
- 447 The Plaintiff has tried every way possible to resolve
- 448 these issues amicably but has not been replied to or
- 449 ignored in these matters thus leaving the Plaintiff no
- 450 alternative but to seek relief through this Honorable Court.

451	Statement Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted
452 453 454 455 456 457 458	1. A settlement agreement between the Plaintiff and the Defendent that the Defendant's shall remove any derogatory information and inquires from all four major credit-reporting agencies: Trans Union, Equifax, Experian and Innovis and any other known credit reporting agencies Capital One Bank (USA) N.A. has used now or may use in the future.
459 460 461 462	<ol> <li>Defendant's must also provide a letter and or Universal Data Form indicating that they have done this and send same to the Plaintiff.</li> </ol>
463 464 465 466 467	3. The Defendant's will be barred now or in the future from selling or transferring of the allgeged debt to any other collection agency or attorney or entity and also barred now and in the future from re-entering this information into the Plaintiffs credit reports.
468 469 470 471 472 473	<ol> <li>The Defendant's must cease and desist any further collection activities against the Plaintiff and the Defendant may not See or Transfer the alleged account to any other Collection Agency or Attorney or entity now or in the future, and release all judgments.</li> <li>Payment of \$48,000.00 for their violations.</li> </ol>
474 475	6. Private Attorney General fees must be paid to the Plaintiff.
476	7. Damages as allowed by the Court.
477	Respectfully submitted this $28$ day of December 2010.
478 479 480 481 482 483	Carl F. Carso, Plaintiff c/o 701 31st Street Tell City, Indiana 47586 812-608-0176 kingosabee@aol.com
484	CERIFICATE OF SERVICE
485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492	I hereby certify that a copy of the forgoing complaint- summons Carl F. Carson vs. CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA)N. A., 1500 CAPITOL ONE DRIVE, RICHMOND, VA. 23238, and Weltman, Weingberg & Reis Co., L.P.A. 525 Vine Street - Suite 800, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 & Atlantic Credit & FinanceInc., 2727 Franklin Road, Roanoke, VA 24104. Defendant's was mailed by U.S.Postal Service Certified Mail Return Receipt to follow to be submitted to the Clerk of the Court.

Page 19 of 19.